

# Gradients

---

To understand loss minimization problem (and later to implement the gradient descent algorithm) we will often need to compute gradients of functions with **multiple** inputs and **single** outputs. Specifically, given a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the gradient  $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a **function** defined:

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial f / \partial x_1 \\ \partial f / \partial x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \partial f / \partial x_d \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, the gradient takes in a vector  $\vec{x}$  and returns a column vector of all partial derivatives of  $f$  at  $\vec{x}$ .

When  $f$  is differentiable, we must have that  $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$  whenever  $\vec{x}$  is an extreme point (e.g. minimizer or maximizer) of  $f$ .

## Some Properties of Gradients

---

When calculating gradients for different loss functions, here are some basic properties to keep in mind:

- **Linearity:**

- If  $h(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{x}) + g(\vec{x})$ , then  $\nabla h(\vec{x}) = \nabla f(\vec{x}) + \nabla g(\vec{x})$ .
- If  $h(\vec{x}) = f(c\vec{x})$  for some scalar  $c$ , then  $\nabla h(\vec{x}) = c\nabla f(\vec{x})$ .

- **Multi-dimensional chain rule:**

- Suppose  $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Now suppose  $h(\vec{x}) = f(g(\vec{x}))$ .
- Let  $g_1(\vec{x}), \dots, g_n(\vec{x})$  denote each component of the function  $g(\vec{x})$ . So each  $g_i(\vec{x})$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g(\vec{x}) = [g_1(\vec{x}); \dots; g_n(\vec{x})]$ .
- Let  $\partial f / \partial [g(\vec{x})]_j$  denote the  $j^{\text{th}}$  partial derivative of  $f$ , evaluated at  $g(\vec{x})$ .
- The multi-dimensional chain rule tells us that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial [g(\vec{x})]_j} \cdot \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i}$

The multidimensional chain rule can seem a bit complicated when you first use it, but it's really just a generalization of what you already know from single variable calculus. See this [article](#) from Khan Academy for a more in depth review.

Roughly, the chain rule just tells us that, if a function  $h$  depends on inputs  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and each  $z_i$  depends on other inputs  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ , then  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = \sum \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_j} \cdot \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_i}$ .

# Gradient Practice

---

Here are some examples of functions and their gradients:

- **Function:**  $f(\vec{x}) = \vec{a}^T \vec{x} = \langle \vec{a}, \vec{x} \rangle$  for some fixed vector  $\vec{a}$ .

**Gradient:**  $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \vec{a}$ .

- Proof: write  $\vec{a}^T \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i x_i$ , from which it's clear that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\vec{a}^T \vec{x}) = a_i$ .

- **Function:**  $f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x}\|_2^2$ .

**Gradient:**  $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = 2\vec{x}$ .

- Proof: write  $\|\vec{x}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2$ , from which it's clear that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\|\vec{x}\|_2^2) = 2x_i$ .

- **Function:**  $f(\vec{x}) = g(A\vec{x})$  where  $A$  is a  $n \times d$  matrix and  $g$  is some function from  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Gradient:**  $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = A^T \nabla g(A\vec{x})$ .

- Proof: Let  $k(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  the  $j^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $k(\vec{x})$  is  $k_j(\vec{x}) = \langle A_j, \vec{x} \rangle$ , where  $A_j$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ . From chain rule we have that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial [k(\vec{x})]_j} \cdot \frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_i}$

- $\frac{\partial k_j}{\partial x_i} = A_{j,i}$  where  $A_{j,i}$  is the entry in  $A$ 's  $j^{\text{th}}$  row and  $i^{\text{th}}$  column.

- Substituting we have:

- $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{j,i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial [k(\vec{x})]_j}$  which we can observe is equal to:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \langle A_{:,i}, \nabla g(k(\vec{x})) \rangle = \langle A_{:,i}, \nabla g(A\vec{x}) \rangle$$

where  $A_{:,i}$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$ .

- So if we stack  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}$  into a column vector to for  $\nabla f(\vec{x})$  we get  $\nabla f(\vec{x}) = A^T \nabla g(A\vec{x})$ .

**This last one is a good one to just memorize! It will come up again and again!**

## Application to Multiple Linear Regression Squared Loss

---

Now that we have some basic identities, let's try to compute the gradient of the following function from  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$L(\vec{\beta}) = \|\vec{y} - X\vec{\beta}\|_2^2.$$

Here  $\vec{y}$  is a length  $n$  column vector,  $X$  is our  $n \times d$  data matrix,  $\beta$  is a column vector of  $d$  parameters and  $L$  is the squared loss.

**Question:** What the gradient  $\nabla L(\vec{\beta})$ ?

**Solution:**

First note that

$$L(\vec{\beta}) = \|\vec{y} - X\vec{\beta}\|_2^2 = \langle \vec{y} - X\vec{\beta}, \vec{y} - X\vec{\beta} \rangle = \langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle + \langle X\vec{\beta}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle - 2\langle \vec{y}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle.$$

So, by **linearity**,

$$\nabla L(\vec{\beta}) = \nabla \langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle + \nabla \langle X\vec{\beta}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle - 2\nabla \langle \vec{y}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle.$$

Let's figure out each term separately:

- $\nabla \langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle = \vec{0}$  because  $\langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle$  does not depend on  $\beta$  at all (which is what we're computing partial derivatives with respect to).
- $\nabla \langle X\vec{\beta}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle = \nabla \|X\vec{\beta}\|_2^2$ . We can evaluate this gradient using the first and last example in our gradient practice section: it's equal to  $\|X\vec{\beta}\|_2^2 = X^T \nabla \|\vec{z}\|_2^2$  where  $\vec{z} = X\vec{\beta}$ .  
So we have  $\|X\vec{\beta}\|_2^2 = X^T(2\vec{z}) = 2X^T X\vec{\beta}$ .
- Finally, we note that  $\langle \vec{y}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle = \vec{y}^T X\vec{\beta} = \langle X^T \vec{y}, \vec{\beta} \rangle$  (here I'm using that  $(\vec{y}^T X)^T = X^T \vec{y}$ ).  
So  $\nabla \langle \vec{y}, X\vec{\beta} \rangle = \nabla \langle X^T \vec{y}, \vec{\beta} \rangle = X^T \vec{y}$  using example 1 from the previous section.

Putting it all together, we get that

$$\nabla L(\vec{\beta}) = 0 + 2X^T X\vec{\beta} - 2X^T \vec{y}$$

$$\nabla L(\vec{\beta}) = 2X^T (X\vec{\beta} - \vec{y})$$