

# CS-GY 6763: Lecture 14

## Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss Transform, Introduction to Sparse Recovery and Compressed Sensing

---


NYU Tandon School of Engineering, Prof. Christopher Musco

### This is our last class!

- Final project due next Friday.
- Exam study guide will be released shortly with practice questions. Same rules as midterm (cheat sheet allowed). will be a 1.5 hour test.
- Solutions for last problem set will be released day after it's due (so no late submissions).

# RANDOMIZED NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA

**Main idea:** Speed up classical linear algebra problems using randomization.



Input:  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Algorithm: Let  $\tilde{x}^* = \arg \min_x \|\Pi A x - \Pi b\|_2^2$ .

Goal: Want  $\|A \tilde{x}^* - b\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \min_x \|A x - b\|_2^2$

**Theorem (Example: Randomized Linear Regression)**

Let  $\Pi$  be a properly scaled JL matrix (random Gaussian, sign, sparse random, etc.) with  $m = O\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows. Then with probability  $9/10$ , for any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\Pi \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \Pi \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$ .


Reduce from a  $O(nd^2)$  time computation to an  $O(d^3)$  time problem.

**Issue discussed last time:** The dimensionality reduction itself takes  $O(nd^2)$  time!

## RETURN TO SINGLE VECTOR PROBLEM

**Goal:** Develop methods that reduce a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  down to  $m \approx \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}$  dimensions in  $o(mn)$  time and guarantee:

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$



Recall that once the bound above is proven, linearity lets us preserve things like  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2$  or  $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ . I.e., we get Johnson-Lindenstrauss and subspace embeddings for free.

Fast embeddings are useful in a lot of other applications.

- Nearest-neighbor search (locality sensitive hash functions for cosine similarity and  $\ell_2$  starts with JL sketch).
  - Used in FALCONN ANN library, Reformer fast attention architecture, etc.
- Key/query vector compression in LLMs (needs to happen very fast).
- Random Fourier features and other methods in machine learning that use JL as a starting point.

## Subsampled Randomized Hadamard Transform<sup>1</sup> (SHRT) (Ailon-Chazelle, 2006)

### Theorem (The Fast JL Lemma)

Let  $\mathbf{\Pi} = \mathbf{SHD} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be a subsampled randomized Hadamard transform with  $m = O\left(\frac{\log(n/\delta) \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows. Then for any fixed  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{\Pi x}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$


with probability  $(1 - \delta)$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi x}$  can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  (nearly linear) time.

Very little loss in embedding dimension compared to standard JL.

<sup>1</sup>One of my favorite randomized algorithms.

## SOLUTION FOR “FLAT” VECTORS

Let  $S$  be a **random sampling matrix**. Every row contains a value of  $s = \sqrt{n/m}$  in a single location, and is zero elsewhere.



$\tilde{x}$  can be computed in  $O(m)$  time. Woohoo!


$$\mathbb{E}[\|Sx\|_2^2] =$$

**What is the problem with this approach?**



## VECTOR SAMPLING

Sampling only works well if  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  is “flat”.



### Claim

If  $x_i^2 \leq \frac{c}{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  for all  $i$  then  $m = O(c \log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$  samples suffices to ensure the  $(1 - \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  with probability  $1 - \delta$ .

This just follows from standard Hoeffding inequality.

## THE FAST JOHNSON-LINDENSTRAUSS TRANSFORM

**Key idea:** First multiply  $\mathbf{x}$  by a “mixing matrix”  $\mathbf{M}$  which ensures it cannot be too concentrated in one place.

$\mathbf{M}$  will have the properties that

1.  $\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  exactly.
2. Every entry in  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$  is bounded. I.e.  $[\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}]_i^2 \leq \frac{c}{n} \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  for some factor  $c$  to be determined.
3. We will be able to multiply by  $\mathbf{M}$  in  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Then we will multiply by a subsampling matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  to do the actual dimensionality reduction:

$$\Pi\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$$

# THE FAST JOHNSON-LINDENSTRAUSS TRANSFORM

Good mixing matrices should look random:

+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1
+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1
+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1
-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1
-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1
-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1

**M**

**x**

In fact, I claim to mix any  $\mathbf{x}$  with high probability,  $\mathbf{M}$  needs to be chosen randomly. Why?

**Hint:** Recall that  $\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ , so  $\mathbf{M}$  is orthogonal.

# THE FAST JOHNSON-LINDENSTRAUSS TRANSFORM

Good mixing matrices should look random:

+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1
+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1
+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1
-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1
-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1
-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1

**M**

**x**

But for this approach to work, we need to be able to compute  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$  very quickly. So we will use a **pseudorandom** matrix instead.

## Subsampled Randomized Hadamard Transform

$\Pi = SM$  where  $M = HD$ :

- $D \in n \times n$  is a diagonal matrix with each entry uniform  $\pm 1$ .
- $H \in n \times n$  is a Hadamard matrix.

The Hadarmard matrix is an orthogonal matrix closely related to the discrete Fourier matrix. It has three critical properties:

1.  $\|Hv\|_2^2 = \|v\|_2^2$  exactly. Thus  $\|HDx\|_2^2 = \|x\|_2^2$
2.  $\|Hv\|_2^2$  can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time.
3. All of the entries in  $H$  have the same magnitude. I.e. the matrix is “flat”.

## HADAMARD MATRICES RECURSIVE DEFINITION

Assume that  $n$  is a power of 2. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Hadamard matrix  $H_k$  is a  $2^k \times 2^k$  matrix defined by:

$$H_0 = 1 \quad H_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} H_{k-1} & H_{k-1} \\ H_{k-1} & -H_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $n \times n$  Hadamard matrix has all entries as  $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .


**Property 1:** For any  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2$  for all  $\mathbf{v}$ .  
I.e.,  $\mathbf{H}_k$  is orthogonal.

Property 2: Can compute  $\Pi x = SHDx$  in  $O(n \log n)$  time.



# RANDOMIZED HADAMARD TRANSFORM

**Property 3:** The randomized Hadamard matrix is a good “mixing matrix” for smoothing out vectors.



Deterministic  
Hadamard matrix.



Randomized  
Hadamard **PHD**.



Fully random sign  
matrix.

Blue squares are  $1/\sqrt{n}$ 's, white squares are  $-1/\sqrt{n}$ 's.

Pseudorandom objects like this appear all the time in computer science! Error correcting codes, efficient hash functions, etc.

**Lemma (SHRT mixing lemma)**

Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be an  $(n \times n)$  Hadamard matrix and  $\mathbf{D}$  a random  $\pm 1$  diagonal matrix. Let  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . With probability  $1 - \delta$ , for all  $i$  simultaneously,

$$z_i^2 \leq \frac{c \log(n/\delta)}{n} \|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2$$

for some fixed constant  $c$ .

The vector is very close to uniform with high probability. As we saw earlier, we can thus argue that  $\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \approx \|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2$ . I.e. that:

$$\|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

The main result then follows directly from our sampling result from earlier:

### Theorem (The Fast JL Lemma)

Let  $\Pi = \text{SHD} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be a subsampled randomized Hadamard transform with  $m = O\left(\frac{\log(n/\delta) \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows. Then for any fixed  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\Pi \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

with probability  $(1 - \delta)$ .

**SHRT mixing lemma proof:** Need to prove  $(z_i)^2 \leq \frac{c \log(n/\delta)}{n} \|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2$ .

Recall that here  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}$ , so this is equivalent to proving:

$$(z_i)^2 \leq \frac{c \log(n/\delta)}{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$
$$|z_i| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c \log(n/\delta)}}{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2.$$

## RANDOMIZED HADAMARD ANALYSIS

Let  $\mathbf{h}_i^T$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\mathbf{H}$ .  $z_i = \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}$  where:

$$\mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & & & & \\ & D_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & D_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  are random  $\pm 1$ 's.

This is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & R_2 & \dots & R_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are random  $\pm 1$ 's.

So we have, for all  $i$ ,  $z_i = \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n R_{ij} x_j$ .

- $z_i$  is a random variable with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ , which is a sum of independent random variables.

$z_i$  is a random variable with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{1}{n}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ , which is a sum of independent random variables.

- By Central Limit Theorem, we expect that:

$$\Pr[|z_i| \geq t \cdot \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}] \leq e^{-O(t^2)}.$$

- Setting  $t = \sqrt{\log(n/\delta)}$ , we have for constant  $c$ ,

$$\Pr\left[|z_i| \geq c\sqrt{\frac{\log(n/\delta)}{n}}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2\right] \leq \frac{\delta}{n}$$

.

- Applying a union bound to all  $n$  entries of  $\mathbf{z}$  gives the SHRT mixing lemma.

Can use Bernstein-type concentration inequality to prove the bound:

### Lemma (Rademacher Concentration)

Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be Rademacher random variables (i.e. uniform  $\pm 1$ 's). Then for any vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\Pr \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n R_i a_i \geq t \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \right] \leq e^{-t^2/2}.$$

This is called the Khintchine Inequality. It is specialized to sums of scaled  $\pm 1$ 's, and is a bit tighter and easier to apply than using a generic Bernstein bound.



Recall that  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}$ .

With probability  $1 - \delta$ , we have that for all  $i$ ,

$$z_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{c \log(n/\delta)}{n}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{c \log(n/\delta)}{n}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_2.$$

As shown earlier, we can thus guarantee that:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2$$

as long as  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a random sampling matrix with

$$m = O\left(\frac{\log(n/\delta) \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right) \text{ rows.}$$

$\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  and  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ , so we are done.

**Upshot for regression:** Compute  $\Pi A$  in  $O(nd \log n)$  time instead of  $O(nd^2)$  time. Compress problem down to  $\tilde{A}$  with  $O(d^2)$  dimensions.

## BRIEF COMMENT ON OTHER METHODS

$O(nd \log n)$  is nearly linear in the size of  $\mathbf{A}$  when  $\mathbf{A}$  is dense.

**Clarkson-Woodruff 2013, STOC Best Paper:** Let  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}))$  be the number of non-zeros in  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is possible to compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  with  $\text{poly}(d)$  rows in:

$$O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A})) \text{ time.}$$

$\mathbf{\Pi}$  is chosen to be an ultra-sparse random matrix. Uses totally different techniques (you can't do JL +  $\epsilon$ -net).

Lead to a whole class of matrix algorithms (for regression, SVD, etc.) which run in time:

$$O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A})) + \text{poly}(d, \epsilon).$$

## WHAT WERE AILON AND CHAZELLE THINKING?

Simple, inspired algorithm that has been used for accelerating:

- Vector dimensionality reduction
- Linear algebra
- Locality sensitive hashing (SimHash)
- Randomized kernel learning methods.

```
m = 20;  
c1 = (2*randi(2,1,n)-3).*y;  
c2 = sqrt(n)*fwht(dy);  
c3 = c2(randperm(n));  
z = sqrt(n/m)*c3(1:m);
```


BREAK

## WHAT WERE AILON AND CHAZELLE THINKING?

The Hadamard Transform is closely related to the Discrete Fourier Transform.

$$F_{j,k} = e^{-2\pi i \frac{j \cdot k}{n}},$$

$$F^* F = I.$$




Real part of  $F_{j,k}$ .

**Fy** computes the Discrete Fourier Transform of the vector **y**.  
Can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time using a divide and conquer algorithm (the Fast Fourier Transform).

## FOURIER TRANSFORM

The real part of  $e^{-2\pi i \frac{j \cdot k}{n}}$  equals  $\cos(2\pi j \cdot k)$ . So, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\mathbf{F}$  looks like a cosine wave with frequency  $2\pi j$ .

Computing  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}$  computes inner products of  $\mathbf{x}$  with a bunch of different frequencies, which can be used to decompose the vector into a sum of those frequencies.




## WALSH-HADAMARD TRANSFORM

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$




# THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPAL

**The Uncertainty Principal (informal):** A function and it's Fourier transform cannot both be concentrated.



Vector  $y$ .



Fourier transform  $Fy$ .

Sampling does not preserve norms, i.e.  $\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \not\approx \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$  when  $\mathbf{y}$  has a few large entries.


Taking a Fourier transform exactly eliminates this hard case, without changing  $\mathbf{y}$ 's norm.

One of the central tools in the field of **sparse recovery** aka **compressed sensing**.

# SPARSE RECOVERY/COMPRESSED SENSING PROBLEM SETUP

**Goal:** Recover a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  from linear measurements.

Choose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m < n$ . Assume we can access  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  via some black-box measurement process. Try to recover  $\mathbf{x}$  from the information in  $\mathbf{b}$ .




A diagram illustrating the equation  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . On the left, a teal rectangle labeled  $\mathbf{A}$  is positioned to the left of a tall, thin yellow rectangle labeled  $\mathbf{x}$ . To the right of the yellow rectangle is an equals sign, followed by a shorter, thin orange rectangle labeled  $\mathbf{b}$ .

- Infinite possible solutions  $\mathbf{y}$  to  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ , so in general, it is impossible to recover  $\mathbf{x}$  from  $\mathbf{b}$ .
- Can often be possible if  $\mathbf{x}$  has additional structure!

Need to make some assumption to solve the problem. Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m < n$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , want to recover  $\mathbf{x}$ .


- Assume  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $k$ -sparse for small  $k$ .  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 = k$ .



- In many cases can recover  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\ll n$  rows. In fact, often  $\sim O(k)$  suffice.

## EXAMPLE APPLICATION: SINGLE PIXEL CAMERA


Typical acquisition of image by camera:



Requires one image sensor per pixel captured.

## EXAMPLE APPLICATION: SINGLE PIXEL CAMERA

Compressed acquisition of image:




$$b = \sum_{i=1} x_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \dots & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Does not provide very much information about the image.

## EXAMPLE APPLICATION: SINGLE PIXEL CAMERA

But you can get more information from other linear measurements via masking!



$$b_i = \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Piece together many of these masked measurements, and can recover the whole image!


### Applications in:

- Imaging outside of the visible spectrum (more expensive sensors).
- Microscopy.
- Other scientific imaging.
- We will discuss other applications shortly.

The theory we will discuss does not exactly describe these problems, but has been very valuable in modeling them.



Is sparsity a reasonable assumption?




For some of the approaches we will discuss, it suffices to assume that  $\mathbf{x}$  is sparse in any fixed (and known) basis. I.e. that  $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}$  is sparse for some  $n \times n$  orthogonal  $\mathbf{V}$ . E.g. images are sparse in the Discrete Cosine Transform basis.

Sparsity is a starting point for considering other more complex structure.

## REQUIREMENTS FOR MEASUREMENT MATRIX

What matrices  $A$  would definitely not allow us to recover  $x$ ?



The diagram illustrates the equation  $Ax = b$ . On the left, a teal rectangular matrix labeled  $A$  is shown. To its right is a vertical vector labeled  $x$ , represented by a column of eight boxes. The first, third, fourth, sixth, and seventh boxes of  $x$  are yellow, while the second, fifth, and eighth boxes are white. To the right of the vector  $x$  is an equals sign, followed by a vertical vector labeled  $b$ , represented by a single orange box.

### Many ways to formalize our intuition

- $\mathbf{A}$  has Kruskal rank  $r$ . All sets of  $r$  columns in  $\mathbf{A}$  are linearly independent.
  - Recover vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  with sparsity  $k = r/2$ .
- $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\mu$ -incoherent.  $|\mathbf{A}_i^T \mathbf{A}_j| \leq \mu \|\mathbf{A}_i\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}_j\|_2$  for all columns  $\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_j, i \neq j$ .
  - Recover vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  with sparsity  $k = 1/\mu$ .
- Focus today:  $\mathbf{A}$  obeys the Restricted Isometry Property.

### Definition $((q, \epsilon)$ -Restricted Isometry Property)

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies  $(q, \epsilon)$ -RIP if, for all  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \leq q$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

- Johnson-Lindenstrauss type condition.
- $\mathbf{A}$  preserves the norm of all  $q$  sparse vectors, instead of the norms of a fixed discrete set of vectors, or all vectors in a subspace (as in subspace embeddings).
- **Preview:** A random matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\sim O(q \log(n/q))$  rows satisfies RIP.

### Theorem ( $\ell_0$ -minimization)

Suppose we are given  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  for an unknown  $k$ -sparse  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(2k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for any  $\epsilon < 1$  then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique minimizer of:

$$\min \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}.$$

- Establishes that information theoretically we can recover  $\mathbf{x}$ . Solving the  $\ell_0$ -minimization problem is computationally difficult, requiring  $O(n^k)$  time. We will address faster recovery shortly.

## FIRST SPARSE RECOVERY RESULT

**Claim:** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(2k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for any  $\epsilon < 1$  then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique minimizer of  $\min_{\mathbf{Az}=\mathbf{b}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_0$ .

**Proof:** By contradiction, assume there is some  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{Ay} = \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{y}\|_0 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_0$ .

**Important note:** There are robust versions of this theorem and the others we will discuss. These are much more important practically. Here's a flavor of a robust result:

- Suppose  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e})$  where  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $k$ -sparse and  $\mathbf{e}$  is dense but has bounded norm.
- Recover some  $k$ -sparse  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  such that:

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{e}\|_1$$

or even

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \|\mathbf{e}\|_1.$$

We will not discuss robustness in detail, but along with computational considerations, it is a big part of what has made compressed sensing such an active research area in the last 30 years. Non-robust compressed sensing results have been known for a long time:

Gaspard Riche de Prony, *Essay experimental et analytique: sur les lois de la dilatabilite de fluides elastique et sur celles de la force expansive de la vapeur de l'alcool, a differentes temperatures*. Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique, 24–76. **1795**.



## What matrices satisfy this property?

- Random Johnson-Lindenstrauss matrices (Gaussian, sign, etc.) with  $m = O\left(\frac{k \log(n/k)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows are  $(k, \epsilon)$ -RIP.
- item Random  $m \sim O\left(\frac{k \log^2 k \log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows of the discrete Fourier matrix **F** a random  $m \sim O\left(\frac{k \log^2 k \log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  rows of the discrete Fourier matrix **F**.


Improves on a long line of work: Candès, Tao, Rudelson, Vershynin, Cheraghchi, Guruswami, Velingker, Bourgain.

# THE DISCRETE FOURIER MATRIX

The  $n \times n$  discrete Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  is defined:


$$F_{j,k} = e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{n}j \cdot k},$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Recall  $e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{n}j \cdot k} = \cos(2\pi jk/n) - i \sin(2\pi jk/n)$ .



## PSEUDORANDOM RIP MATRICES

In many applications can compute measurements of the form  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{SFx}$ , where  $\mathbf{F}$  is the Discrete Fourier Transform matrix (what an FFT computes) and  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subsampling matrix.



$\mathbf{F}$  decomposes  $\mathbf{x}$  into different frequencies:  $[\mathbf{Fx}]_j$  is the component with frequency  $j/n$ .

If  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{SF}$  is a subset of rows from  $\mathbf{F}$ , then  $\mathbf{Ax}$  is a subset of random frequency components from  $\mathbf{x}$ 's discrete Fourier transform.

In many scientific applications, we can collect entries of  $\mathbf{Fx}$  one at a time for some unobserved data vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Warning: very cartoonish explanation of very complex problem.  
Medical Imaging (MRI)




How do we measure entries of Fourier transform  $\mathbf{F}_x$ ? Blast the body with sound waves of varying frequency.

- Using a small number of frequencies is especially important when trying to capture something moving (e.g. lungs, baby, child who can't sit still).
- Can also cut down on high power requirements.

Warning: very cartoonish explanation of very complex problem.

Understanding what material is beneath the crust:



Vibrate the earth at different frequencies! And measure the response.



Vibroseis Truck

Can also use airguns, controlled explosions, vibrations from drilling, etc. The fewer measurements we need from  $F_x$ , the cheaper and faster our data acquisition process becomes.


## RESTRICTED ISOMETRY PROPERTY

Definition (( $q, \epsilon$ )-Restricted Isometry Property – Candes, Tao '05)

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies ( $q, \epsilon$ )-RIP if, for all  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \leq q$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$


The vectors that can be written as  $\mathbf{Ax}$  for  $q$  sparse  $\mathbf{x}$  lie in a union of  $q$  dimensional linear subspaces:





## RESTRICTED ISOMETRY PROPERTY

Candes, Tao 2005: A random JL matrix with  $O(q \log(n/q)/\epsilon^2)$  rows satisfies  $(q, \epsilon)$ -RIP with high probability.



Any ideas for how you might prove this? I.e. prove that a random matrix preserves the norm of every  $x$  in this union of subspaces?

### Theorem (Subspace Embedding from JL)

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $q$ -dimensional linear subspace in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{\Pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is chosen from any distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying the Distributional JL Lemma, then with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{\Pi v}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2$$

for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}$ , as long as  $m = O\left(\frac{q + \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ .

Quick argument:

### Theorem ( $\ell_0$ -minimization)

Suppose we are given  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  for an unknown  $k$ -sparse  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(2k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for any  $\epsilon < 1$  then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique minimizer of:

$$\min \|\mathbf{z}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}.$$

**Problem:** This optimization problem naively takes  $O(n^k)$  time to solve.

Convex relaxation of the  $\ell_0$  minimization problem:

Problem (Basis Pursuit, i.e.  $\ell_1$  minimization.)

$$\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}.$$

- Objective is convex.
- Optimizing over convex set.

Can be solved in **poly**( $n$ ) time using a linear program or using e.g. projected gradient descent. Other similar relaxations also work. E.g. Lasso regularization  $\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{z}\|_1$ .

### Theorem

*If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(3k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for  $\epsilon < .17$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 = k$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique optimal solution of the Basis Pursuit optimization problem.*


### Two surprising things about this result:

- Exponentially improve computational complexity with only a constant factor overhead in measurement complexity.
- Typical “relax-and-round” algorithm, but rounding is not even necessary! Just return the solution of the relaxed problem.


Why  $\ell_1$  norm instead of  $\ell_2$  norm?

## BASIS PURSUIT INTUITION

Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $2 \times 1$ , so  $\mathbf{b}$  is just a scalar and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a 2-dimensional vector.



Vertices of level sets of  $\ell_1$  norm correspond to sparse solutions.



This is not the case e.g. for the  $\ell_2$  norm.

$$\min_z \|z\|_1$$

subject to

$$Az = b.$$

### Theorem

*If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(3k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for  $\epsilon < .17$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 = k$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique optimal solution of the Basis Pursuit LP).*

Similar proof to  $\ell_0$  minimization:

- By way of contradiction, assume  $\mathbf{x}$  is not the optimal solution. Then there exists some non-zero  $\Delta$  such that:
  - $\|\mathbf{x} + \Delta\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$
  - $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ . I.e.  $\mathbf{A}\Delta = 0$ .

Difference is that we can no longer assume that  $\Delta$  is sparse.

We will argue that  $\Delta$  is “approximately” sparse.

### First tool:

For any  $q$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{w}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{q}\|\mathbf{w}\|_2$


### Second tool:

For any norm and vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}\| \geq \|\mathbf{a}\| - \|\mathbf{b}\|$



## BASIS PURSUIT ANALYSIS

**Some definitions:**  $S$  is the set of  $k$  non-zero indices in  $\mathbf{x}$ .  $\bar{T}_1$  is the set of  $2k$  indices not in  $S$  with largest magnitude in  $\Delta$ .  $\bar{T}_2$  is the set of  $2k$  indices not in  $S$  with next largest magnitudes, etc.



**Recall:** By way of contradiction, if  $\mathbf{x}$  is not the minimizer of the  $\ell_1$  problem, then there is some  $\Delta$  such that  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta) = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\|\mathbf{x} + \Delta\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ .

**Claim 1 (approximate sparsity of  $\Delta$ ):**  $\|\Delta_S\|_1 \geq \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|_1$

**Claim 2 ( $\ell_2$  approximate sparsity):**  $\|\Delta_S\|_2 \geq \sqrt{2} \sum_{j \geq 2} \|\Delta_{T_j}\|_2$ :

We have:

$$\|\Delta_S\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \|\Delta_S\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \|\Delta_{\bar{S}}\|_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \|\Delta_{T_j}\|_1.$$


So it suffices to show that:  $\|\Delta_{T_j}\|_1 \geq \sqrt{2k} \|\Delta_{T_{j+1}}\|_2$

**Finish up proof by contradiction:** Recall that  $\mathbf{A}$  is assumed to have the  $(3k, \epsilon)$  RIP property. And by way of contradiction  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta) = \mathbf{b}$ .

$$0 = \|\mathbf{A}\Delta\|_2 \geq \|\mathbf{A}\Delta_{S \cup T_1}\|_2 - \sum_{j \geq 2} \|\mathbf{A}\Delta_{T_j}\|_2$$

# BASIS PURSUIT ANALYSIS

We have that  $(1 - \epsilon) - \frac{1+\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \geq 0$  whenever  $\epsilon < .17$ .



## Theorem

*If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $(3k, \epsilon)$ -RIP for  $\epsilon < .17$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 = k$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unique optimal solution of the Basis Pursuit optimization problem, which can be solved in polynomial time.*

A lot of interest in developing even faster algorithms that avoid using the “heavy hammer” of linear programming, which runs in roughly  $O(n^{3.5})$  time.

- **Iterative Hard Thresholding:** Looks a lot like projected gradient descent. Solve  $\min_z \|\mathbf{A}z - \mathbf{b}\|$  with gradient descent while continually projecting  $z$  back to the set of  $k$ -sparse vectors. Runs in time  $\sim O(nk \log n)$  for Gaussian measurement matrices and  $O(n \log n)$  for subsampled Fourier matrices.
- Other “first order” type methods: Orthogonal Matching Pursuit, CoSaMP, Subspace Pursuit, etc.


When  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subsampled Fourier matrix, there are now methods that run in  $\underline{O(k \log^c n)}$  time [Hassanieh, Indyk, Karpalov, Katabi, Price, Shi, etc. 2012+].

# SPARSE FOURIER TRANSFORM

**Corollary:** When  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $k$ -sparse, we can compute the inverse Fourier transform  $\mathbf{F}^*\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}$  of  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}$  in  $O(k \log^c n)$  time!

- Randomly subsample  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}$ .
- Feed that input into our sparse recovery algorithm to extract  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms in sublinear time when the output is sparse.




**Applications in:** Wireless communications, GPS, protein imaging, radio astronomy, etc. etc.



# COMPRESSED SENSING FOR IMAGES

Compressed sensing for image data is based on the idea that “natural images” are sparse if some basis. E.g. the DCT or Wavelet basis.



I.e. there is some representation of the image that requires many fewer numbers than explicitly writing down the pixels.

# COMPRESSED SENSING RELATED TO MODERN DEEP LEARNING METHOD METHODS

## Compressed Sensing using Generative Models

Ashish Bora\*


Ajil Jalal<sup>†</sup>

Eric Price<sup>‡</sup>

Alexandros G. Dimakis<sup>§</sup>


### Abstract

The goal of compressed sensing is to estimate a vector from an underdetermined system of noisy linear measurements, by making use of prior knowledge on the structure of vectors in the relevant domain. For almost all results in this literature, the structure is represented by sparsity in a well-chosen basis. We show how to achieve guarantees similar to standard compressed sensing but without employing sparsity at all. Instead, we suppose that vectors lie near the range of a generative model  $G : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Our main theorem is that, if  $G$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz, then roughly  $O(k \log L)$  random Gaussian measurements suffice for an  $\ell_2/\ell_2$  recovery guarantee. We demonstrate our results using generative models from published variational autoencoder and generative adversarial networks. Our method can use 5-10x fewer measurements than Lasso for the same accuracy.



# COMPRESSED SENSING FROM GENERATIVE MODELS

For many generative models (e.g., GANs, diffusion models) output is parameterized by a seed vector  $\mathbf{z}$ .



**Process:** measure image  $\mathbf{x}$  by computing  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  for a random matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . Use gradient descent to find  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to minimize:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{b}\|.$$

Return  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z})$ .

## THANK YOU!

Thank you all for a great course! If you are interested in learning even more, there are several seminars at NYU that you might be interested in attending:

**Theoretical Computer Science Seminar:**

<https://csefoundations.engineering.nyu.edu/seminar.html>.

**Math and Data Seminar:** <https://mad.cds.nyu.edu/seminar/>.

**Computational Math and Scientific Computing Seminar:**

<https://cims.nyu.edu/dynamic/calendars/seminars/computational-mathematics-and-scientific-computing-seminar/>.