

CS-GY 6763/CS-UY 3943: Lecture 8

Linear programming and relaxations

NYU Tandon School of Engineering, R. Teal Witter

SET COVER PROBLEM

Given:

- n ground elements $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- m sets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m where $S_j \subseteq [n]$
- non-negative weights $w_j \geq 0$

for $j \in [m]$.

Find:

$$\min_{C \subseteq [m]} \sum_{j \in C} w_j \quad \text{subject to} \quad \cup_{j \in C} S_j = [n].$$

Given:

- ground elements are known viruses
 - sets are three-byte code sequences that occur in viruses
- so

$$S_j = \{\text{viruses that contain } j\text{th three-byte sequence}\}$$

- non-negative weights $w_j \geq 0$

for $j \in [m]$.

Which sequences should we use to identify viruses?

APPLICATION: VERTEX COVER

Given:

- ground elements are edges
- sets are nodes so

$$S_j = \{\text{edges adjacent to } j\text{th node}\}$$

- $w_j = 1$

for $j \in [m]$.

What vertices should we choose so that all edges are connected to at least one chosen vertex?

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a vector of decision variables and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector of constraints. Then the primal linear programs is:

$$\text{Minimize } \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{Ax} \geq \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad (\text{Primal})$$

Question: What are the dimensions of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{b} ?

LP FOR SET COVER: OBJECTIVE

Let $x_j = 1$ iff $j \in C$.

The objective is to minimize the sum of weights in C :

$$\min_{C \subseteq [m]} \sum_{j \in C} w_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{j=1}^m w_j x_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Question: What is \mathbf{b} ?

The constraint is that C covers the ground elements:

$$\bigcup_{j \in C} S_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j: i \in S_j} x_j \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in [n] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{Ax} \geq \mathbf{c}$$

Question: What are \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{c} ?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c} \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \sum_{j=1}^m w_j x_j \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{j:i \in S_j} x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

We can solve linear program in polynomial time with e.g. Interior Point, Ellipsoid Method.

But there's a problem ...what is it?

Definition (Relaxation)

A linear program (where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$) is a *relaxation* of an integer program (where $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^m$) if

- a feasible solution to the IP is a feasible solution to the LP and
- the value of the feasible solution in the IP has the same value in the LP.

Therefore $OPT_{LP} \leq OPT_{IP} = OPT_{SC}$.

Theorem

Let x^* be optimal solution to LP. Define

$$f = \max_{i \in [n]} |\{j : i \in S_j\}|.$$

Choose C so that $j \in C$ iff $x_j^* \geq 1/f$.

Then C is feasible and gives an f -approximation to set cover.

Question: What approximation do we get for vertex cover?

Claim: C is feasible.

Fix i . We know

$$\sum_{j:i \in S_j} x_j^* \geq 1.$$

Then

Claim: C gives an f -approximation to set cover.

$$\sum_{j \in C} w_j$$

Minimize $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $\mathbf{Ax} \geq \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (*Primal*)

Maximize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}$ subject to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (*Dual*)

Weak duality: $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$

Proof:

Note: strong duality holds if primal and dual are bounded and feasible.

Maximize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}$ subject to $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{b}$ (*Dual*)

Maximize $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ subject to $\sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i \leq w_j$

Intuition: y_i represents how much we pay for ground element i .

Theorem

Let \mathbf{y}^* be optimal solution to dual. Choose C' so that $j \in C'$ iff

$$\sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i^* = w_j.$$

Then C' is feasible and gives an f -approximation to set cover.

Claim: C' is feasible.

Suppose for contradiction there's an uncovered ground element k .

Then for all subsets S_j containing k , we have $\sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i^* < w_j$.

Define $\epsilon = \min_{j:k \in S_j} (w_j - \sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i^*)$.

Now let \mathbf{y}' be \mathbf{y}^* except with $y'_k = y_k^* + \epsilon$.

Then

Claim: C' gives an f -approximation to set cover.

$$\sum_{j \in C'} w_j$$

Question: Is C' better than C ?

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \sum_{j:i \in S_j} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^m x_j w_j$$

Strong duality: the above inequalities are tight for \mathbf{x}^* and \mathbf{y}^* .

- If $y_i^* > 0$ then $\sum_{j:i \in S_j} x_j^* = 1$
- If $x_j^* > 0$ then $\sum_{i:i \in S_j} y_i^* = w_j$

PRIMAL VS. DUAL ALGORITHM

We know we can use an LP solver for primal.

Can we do better for dual?