Single Pass Spectral Sparsification in Dynamic Streams

2014.11.10 M. Kapralov, Y.T. Lee, C. Musco, C. Musco, A. Sidford Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Overview

□ In $\tilde{O}(n)$ space, maintain a graph compression from which we can always return a spectral sparsifier.

Main technique

 \Box Use ℓ_2 heavy hitter sketches to sample by effective resistance in the streaming model.

Overview

□ In $\tilde{O}(n)$ space, maintain a graph compression from which we can always return a spectral sparsifier.

Main technique

 \Box Use ℓ_2 heavy hitter sketches to sample by effective resistance in the streaming model.

Outline



2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

- 4 Our Algorithm
 - Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
 - Sampling by Effective Resistance
 - Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

- Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

General Idea

- □ Approximate a dense graph with a much sparser graph.
- \Box Reduce $O(n^2)$ edges $\rightarrow O(n \log n)$ edges



General Idea

- □ Approximate a dense graph with a much sparser graph.
- \Box Reduce $O(n^2)$ edges $\rightarrow O(n \log n)$ edges



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

 \Box Preserve *every* cut value to within $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{24} & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \square Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

□ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.

٠..



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

□ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.

٠..



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

□ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.

٠..



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

□ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.

٠..



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96)

□ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.

٠..



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{cccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ e_{13} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ e_{34} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ e_{12} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{23} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{B}$$

- □ Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2} \times n}$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix for a graph *G*.
- \Box Let $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$ be an "indicator vector" for some cut.



Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96) So, $\|\mathbf{Bx}\|_2^2 = \text{cut value}$.

$\begin{aligned} & \mbox{Goal} \\ & \mbox{Find some } \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mbox{ such that, for all } \mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n, \\ & (1-\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$

 $\Box \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{\tilde{B}}^{\top} \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}.$ $\Box \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B} \text{ is the graph Laplacian.}$

Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96) So, $\|\mathbf{Bx}\|_2^2 = \text{cut value}$.

$\begin{aligned} & \mbox{Goal} \\ & \mbox{Find some } \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \mbox{ such that, for all } \mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n, \\ & (1-\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$

 $\Box \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathsf{T}} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}.$ $\Box \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \text{ is the graph Laplacian.}$
Cut Sparsification (Benczúr, Karger '96) So, $\|\mathbf{Bx}\|_2^2 = \text{cut value}$.

GoalFind some $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$, $(1-\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le (1+\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$

 $\Box \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{\tilde{B}}^{\top} \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}.$ $\Box \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{B} \text{ is the graph Laplacian.}$

Spectral Sparsification (Spielman, Teng '04)

Goal Find some $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n \mathbb{R}^n$, $(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$

Applications: Anything cut sparsifiers can do, Laplacian system solves, computing effective resistances, spectral clustering, calculating random walk properties, etc.

Spectral Sparsification (Spielman, Teng '04)

Goal Find some $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n \mathbb{R}^n$, $(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$

Applications: Anything cut sparsifiers can do, Laplacian system solves, computing effective resistances, spectral clustering, calculating random walk properties, etc.

All Equivalent:

$\|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

All Equivalent:

 $\|\mathbf{\tilde{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

All Equivalent:

 $\|\mathbf{\tilde{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

All Equivalent:

 $\|\mathbf{\tilde{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

All Equivalent:

 $\|\mathbf{\tilde{B}}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \approx_{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} \qquad \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \approx_{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$

How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?



How are sparsifiers constructed?

Sampling probabilities:

- Connectivity for cut sparsifiers [Benczúr, Karger '96], [Fung, Hariharan, Harvey, Panigrahi '11].
- Effective resistances (i.e statistical leverage scores) for spectral sparsifiers [Spielman, Srivastava '08].

How are sparsifiers constructed?

Sampling probabilities:

- Connectivity for cut sparsifiers [Benczúr, Karger '96], [Fung, Hariharan, Harvey, Panigrahi '11].
- Effective resistances (i.e statistical leverage scores) for spectral sparsifiers [Spielman, Srivastava '08].

How are sparsifiers constructed?

Sampling probabilities:

- Connectivity for cut sparsifiers [Benczúr, Karger '96], [Fung, Hariharan, Harvey, Panigrahi '11].
- Effective resistances (i.e statistical leverage scores) for spectral sparsifiers [Spielman, Srivastava '08].

How are sparsifiers constructed?

Sampling probabilities:

- Connectivity for cut sparsifiers [Benczúr, Karger '96], [Fung, Hariharan, Harvey, Panigrahi '11].
- Effective resistances (i.e statistical leverage scores) for spectral sparsifiers [Spielman, Srivastava '08].

How are sparsifiers constructed?

Sampling probabilities:

- Connectivity for cut sparsifiers [Benczúr, Karger '96], [Fung, Hariharan, Harvey, Panigrahi '11].
- Effective resistances (i.e statistical leverage scores) for spectral sparsifiers [Spielman, Srivastava '08].

Actually oversample: by (effective resistance) × $O(\log n/\epsilon^2)$. Gives sparsifiers with $O(n \log n/\epsilon^2)$ edges – reducing from $O(n^2)$.

- □ Makes sense to compress a graph, but what if we cannot afford to store it in the first place?
- □ Is it possible to "sketch" a graph in small space by maintaining a sparsifier or some other representation?



2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

- Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.

- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- \Box Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- □ Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



- \Box Space allowance $n \log^{c}(n)$.
- □ Receive data via edge updates.
- Minimum spanning tree, maximal matching, graph connectivity, etc.



Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

- Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]
□ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.

- [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ **[Kapralov, Woodruff '14]**: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

- □ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ **[Kapralov, Woodruff '14]**: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

- □ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.
- [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ **[Kapralov, Woodruff '14]**: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

- □ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.
- [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ **[Kapralov, Woodruff '14]**: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

- □ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.
- [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ [Kapralov, Woodruff '14]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

- □ [Ahn, Guha '09], [Kelner, Levin '11]: Cut and spectral sparsifiers in *insertion only* streams.
- [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12a]: Introduced linear sketching for graphs. This breakthrough work is the first to handle edge deletions for graph problems. Connectivity, MST, multi-pass sparsifiers.
 - [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '12b], [Goel, Kapralov, Post '12]: Extend techniques to get single pass cut sparsifiers.
- □ [Ahn, Guha, McGregor '13]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but $O(n^{5/3})$ space.
- □ [Kapralov, Woodruff '14]: Dynamic spectral sparsifiers, but multi-pass.

Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

- Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]







Sketch:





How do we get around this issue? Take a cue from standard streaming algorithms:

- □ Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.

How do we get around this issue?

- □ Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



How do we get around this issue?

- □ Linear Sketching!
- Does *not* depend on insertion/deletion order.



Algorithm Overview

- **1** Assume we have a coarse sparsifier i.e. $(1 \pm \frac{1}{2})$ approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}$.
- Show procedure for recovering high effective resistance edges
- 3 Use black-box to sample edges by effective resistance

Algorithm Overview

- **1** Assume we have a coarse sparsifier i.e. $(1 \pm \frac{1}{2})$ approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}$.
- Show procedure for recovering high effective resistance edges
- 3 Use black-box to sample edges by effective resistance

- **1** Assume we have a coarse sparsifier i.e. $(1 \pm \frac{1}{2})$ approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}$.
- 2 Show procedure for recovering high effective resistance edges
- 3 Use black-box to sample edges by effective resistance

- **1** Assume we have a coarse sparsifier i.e. $(1 \pm \frac{1}{2})$ approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}$.
- 2 Show procedure for recovering high effective resistance edges
- 3 Use black-box to sample edges by effective resistance

Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

- 2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model
- 3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

- Recover High Effective Resistance Edges
- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

- □ Distinct elements
- Vector norms
- □ Entropy estimation
- □ Really any streaming problem...

- Distinct elements
- Vector norms
- Entropy estimation
- □ Really any streaming problem...

- Distinct elements
- Vector norms
- Entropy estimation
- □ Really any streaming problem...

- Distinct elements
- Vector norms
- Entropy estimation
- □ Really any streaming problem...

- Distinct elements
- Vector norms
- Entropy estimation
- □ Really any streaming problem...

Graph Sketching

Analyzing Graph Structure via Linear Measurements, Ahn, Guha, McGregor 2012

□ Use a sparse recovery sketch.

Analyzing Graph Structure via Linear Measurements, Ahn, Guha, McGregor 2012

□ Use a sparse recovery sketch.


Analyzing Graph Structure via Linear Measurements, Ahn, Guha, McGregor 2012

□ Use a sparse recovery sketch.



Analyzing Graph Structure via Linear Measurements, Ahn, Guha, McGregor 2012

□ Use a sparse recovery sketch.



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- □ Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:

Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \Box Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:

Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



Prior Work:

- $\hfill\square$ Apply sparse recovery sketches to the columns of B.
- \square Recover *cut information* \rightarrow *k*-connectivity, cut sparsifiers!

Our Approach:



We are still going to sample by effective resistance.

- □ Treat graph as resistor network, each edge has resistance 1.
- □ Flow 1 unit of current from node *i* to *j* and measure voltage drop between the nodes.

We are still going to sample by effective resistance.

- □ Treat graph as resistor network, each edge has resistance 1.
- □ Flow 1 unit of current from node *i* to *j* and measure voltage drop between the nodes.



We are still going to sample by effective resistance.

- □ Treat graph as resistor network, each edge has resistance 1.
- □ Flow 1 unit of current from node *i* to *j* and measure voltage drop between the nodes.



Using standard V = IR equations:



Using standard V = IR equations:



Using standard V = IR equations:























Effective resistance of edge *e* is $\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e$.

Alternatively, au_e is the $e^{\iota \eta}$ entry in the vector:

 $\mathsf{BL}^{-1}\mathsf{x}_e$

AND

$$\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top (\mathbf{L}^{-1})^\top \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \|\mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$$

Effective resistance of edge e is $\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^{\top} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e$. Alternatively, τ_e is the e^{th} entry in the vector:

 $\mathbf{BL}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e$

AND

$$\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top (\mathbf{L}^{-1})^\top \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \|\mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$$

Effective resistance of edge e is $\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^{\top} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e$. Alternatively, τ_e is the e^{th} entry in the vector:

 $\mathbf{BL}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e$

AND

$$\tau_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \mathbf{x}_e^\top (\mathbf{L}^{-1})^\top \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e = \|\mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$$

Effective Resistance:





Effective Resistance:





Effective Resistance:





Effective Resistance:














Sparse recovery specifics:

 $\mathbf{BL}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

ℓ_2 Heavy Hitters [GLPS10]:

- □ Sketch poly(n) vector in polylog(n) space.
- □ Extract any element who's square is a $O(1/\log n)$ fraction of the vector's squared norm.

Sparse recovery specifics:

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

ℓ_2 Heavy Hitters [GLPS10]:

- □ Sketch poly(n) vector in polylog(n) space.
- □ Extract any element who's square is a $O(1/\log n)$ fraction of the vector's squared norm.

Putting it all together:

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

- **1** Sketch $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})\mathbf{B}$ in $n \log^{c} n$ space.
- 2 Compute $(\Pi_{heavy hitters})B\tilde{L}^{-1}$
- **3** For every possible edge e, compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}x_e$
- Extract heavy hitters from the vector, check if eth entry is one.

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}\approx\frac{\tau_{e}^{2}}{\tau_{e}}=\tau_{e}$$

Putting it all together:

$$\mathbf{BL}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$$

- **1** Sketch $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})\mathbf{B}$ in $n \log^{c} n$ space.
- **2** Compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}$.
- **B** For every possible edge e, compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}x_e$
- 4 Extract heavy hitters from the vector, check if eth entry is one.

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}\approx\frac{\tau_{e}^{2}}{\tau_{e}}=\tau_{e}$$

Putting it all together:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$$

- **1** Sketch $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})\mathbf{B}$ in $n \log^{c} n$ space.
- **2** Compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}$.
- **3** For every possible edge e, compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}x_e$
- Extract heavy hitters from the vector, check if eth entry is one.

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}\approx\frac{\tau_{e}^{2}}{\tau_{e}}=\tau_{e}$$

Putting it all together:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$$

- **1** Sketch $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})\mathbf{B}$ in $n \log^{c} n$ space.
- **2** Compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}$.
- **3** For every possible edge e, compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}x_e$
- Extract heavy hitters from the vector, check if eth entry is one.

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}\approx\frac{\tau_{e}^{2}}{\tau_{e}}=\tau_{e}$$

Putting it all together:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$$

- **1** Sketch $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})\mathbf{B}$ in $n \log^{c} n$ space.
- **2** Compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}$.
- **3** For every possible edge e, compute $(\Pi_{\text{heavy hitters}})B\tilde{L}^{-1}x_e$
- Extract heavy hitters from the vector, check if eth entry is one.

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}\approx\frac{\tau_{e}^{2}}{\tau_{e}}=\tau_{e}$$

Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

Recover High Effective Resistance Edges

Sampling by Effective Resistance

Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

How about edges with lower effective resistance? Sketch:



How about edges with lower effective resistance? Sketch:





How about edges with lower effective resistance? Sketch:





How about edges with lower effective resistance? Sketch:



 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

How about edges with lower effective resistance? Sketch:



 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

$\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$

HOWEVER, if *e* makes it through the sampling procedure:

$$\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2 = \mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2$$

So,

Ratio for heavy-hitters
$$= \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}} \approx 2 \times \frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}$$

$$\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$$

HOWEVER, if *e* makes it through the sampling procedure:

$$\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2$$

So

Ratio for heavy-hitters
$$= \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2}{\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2} \approx 2 \times \frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2}$$

$$\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e\|_2^2$$

HOWEVER, if *e* makes it through the sampling procedure:

$$\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_e(e)^2$$

So,

Ratio for heavy-hitters
$$= \frac{\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}_{1/2}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}} \approx 2 \times \frac{\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}(e)^{2}}{\|\mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}\|_{2}^{2}}$$

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

- □ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.
- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- \Box Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ..



How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

□ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.

- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- \Box Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ..

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

□ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.

 \Box Third level: $au_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.

□ Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ..



How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

- □ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.
- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- □ Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ..



How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

- □ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.
- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- \Box Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ..

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

- □ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.
- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- \Box Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ...

$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{e}$

How about edges with lower effective resistance?

□ First level: $\tau_e > 1/\log n$ with probability 1.

- □ Second level: $\tau_e > 1/2 \log n$ with probability 1/2.
- □ Third level: $\tau_e > 1/4 \log n$ with probability 1/4.
- □ Forth level: $\tau_e > 1/8 \log n$ with probability 1/8.

□ ...





Performing this sampling while processing edges in the stream requires $O(\log n)$ random bits per edge. $O(n^2 \log n)$ bits in total.

Fixed using a pseudorandom number generator.





Performing this sampling while processing edges in the stream requires $O(\log n)$ random bits per edge. $O(n^2 \log n)$ bits in total.

Fixed using a pseudorandom number generator.

Overview

1 Graph Sparsification

2 Semi-Streaming Computational Model

3 Prior Work Review

4 Our Algorithm

Recover High Effective Resistance Edges

- Sampling by Effective Resistance
- Recursive Sparsification [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

Final Piece [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

 \Box We need a constant error sparsifier to get a $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ sparsifier.

Final Piece [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

 \Box We need a constant error sparsifier to get a $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ sparsifier.



Final Piece [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

 \Box We need a constant error sparsifier to get a $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ sparsifier.



Final Piece [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

 \Box We need a constant error sparsifier to get a $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ sparsifier.



Final Piece [Li, Miller, Peng '12]

 \Box We need a constant error sparsifier to get a $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ sparsifier.



Actual Implementation:

We add an identity matrix to ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}$ instead of complete graph edges.

No need for an expander - the identity is already sparse!

Actual Implementation:

We add an identity matrix to ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}$ instead of complete graph edges.



No need for an expander – the identity is already sparse!

Actual Implementation:

We add an identity matrix to ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}$ instead of complete graph edges.



No need for an expander - the identity is already sparse!

Full Procedure:



Number of levels depends on log condition number of ${\bf B}$, which is bounded for an unweighted graph.

Works for any matrix!

- □ To work for a general matrix B and general quadratic form B^TB we need:
 - A row dictionary to test every possible entry.
 - A condition number bound.
- Generically, storing a compression of B^TB takes Ω(n²) space.
 Avoid lower bound simply when the row dictionary is poly(n) size.
- $\hfill\square$ To work for a general matrix B and general quadratic form $B^\top B$ we need:
 - A row dictionary to test every possible entry.
 - □ A condition number bound.
- □ Generically, storing a compression of $\mathbf{B}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$ takes $\Omega(n^2)$ space. Avoid lower bound simply when the row dictionary is poly(n) size.

- $\hfill\square$ To work for a general matrix B and general quadratic form $B^\top B$ we need:
 - □ A row dictionary to test every possible entry.
 - A condition number bound.
- □ Generically, storing a compression of $\mathbf{B}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$ takes $\Omega(n^2)$ space. Avoid lower bound simply when the row dictionary is poly(n) size.

- $\hfill\square$ To work for a general matrix B and general quadratic form $B^\top B$ we need:
 - □ A row dictionary to test every possible entry.
 - A condition number bound.
- □ Generically, storing a compression of $\mathbf{B}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$ takes $\Omega(n^2)$ space. Avoid lower bound simply when the row dictionary is poly(n) size.

- $\hfill\square$ To work for a general matrix B and general quadratic form $B^\top B$ we need:
 - A row dictionary to test every possible entry.
 - A condition number bound.
- Generically, storing a compression of B^TB takes Ω(n²) space. Avoid lower bound simply when the row dictionary is poly(n) size.

Recall



Requires $O(n^2 \log n)$ bits in total. We need to store these bits *persistently*.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92]

Theorem

Any algorithm running in S space and using R random bits can be simulated using a PRG that uses a seed of $O(S \log R)$ truly random bits.

- **I** The probability of any outcome changes by at most $2^{-O(S)}$.
- **2** Each random bit can be generated in $S \log R$ time.

We have $S = O(n \log^c n)$ and $R = O(n^2 \log n)$, so $S \log R$ is just $O(n \log^c n)$ truly random bits for our seed.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92]

Theorem

Any algorithm running in S space and using R random bits can be simulated using a PRG that uses a seed of $O(S \log R)$ truly random bits.

- **I** The probability of any outcome changes by at most $2^{-O(S)}$.
- **2** Each random bit can be generated in $S \log R$ time.

We have $S = O(n \log^c n)$ and $R = O(n^2 \log n)$, so $S \log R$ is just $O(n \log^c n)$ truly random bits for our seed.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92] But out algorithm doesn't run in *S* space as described!

Solution: [Indyk '00] Our algorithm can run in $O(n \log^c n)$ if our edges come in order \rightarrow we can throw away hash bits as we go.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92] But out algorithm doesn't run in *S* space as described!



Solution: [Indyk '00] Our algorithm can run in $O(n \log^c n)$ if our edges come in order \rightarrow we can throw away hash bits as we go.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92] But out algorithm doesn't run in *S* space as described!



Solution: [Indyk '00] Our algorithm can run in $O(n \log^c n)$ if our edges come in order \rightarrow we can throw away hash bits as we go.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92]

So, we can apply the PRG to our algorithm assuming ordered insertions/deletions.

But, since the algorithm is linear, the order in which edges are received does not matter. Thus, the algorithm works for any edge stream.

Unfortunately, every time we need a random has bit, we require $S \log R = O(n \log^c n)$ computation \rightarrow slow update time.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92]

So, we can apply the PRG to our algorithm assuming ordered insertions/deletions.

But, since the algorithm is linear, the order in which edges are received does not matter. Thus, the algorithm works for any edge stream.

Unfortunately, every time we need a random has bit, we require $S \log R = O(n \log^c n)$ computation \rightarrow slow update time.

Nisan's PRG [Nisan '92]

So, we can apply the PRG to our algorithm assuming ordered insertions/deletions.

But, since the algorithm is linear, the order in which edges are received does not matter. Thus, the algorithm works for any edge stream.

Unfortunately, every time we need a random has bit, we require $S \log R = O(n \log^c n)$ computation \rightarrow slow update time.



Thank you!